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#### Abstract

Jam production from fruits is an effective means of ensuring availability of fruits at off-seasons. The study aimed at formulating an acceptable jam from apple and banana blends. The proximate composition of the jam samples were determined. Jams were produced from blends of apple and banana in the ratio 50:50, 60:40, and 70:30 respectively. The proximate composition result of the jam produced indicated moisture content between 40.32-55.20 \% ash content of 0.33-0.53 \%, fat content of 0.04-0.16 \%, protein content of 0.26-0.69 \% and carbohydrate content of 35.01-59.99 $\%$. The nutritional composition of the jam produced indicated Total Soluble Solids (TSS ( ${ }^{\circ}$ B)) between 58.80 to 68.0 $\%$. The control sample has lowest TSS of $58.8 \%$ while sample AB3 ( $70 \%$ apple and $30 \%$ banana) had the highest TSS value. The pH of the jam ranged from 3.00 to 3.11, the ascorbic acid composition of the formulated jam ranged from 5.30 to 6.01 while the total sugar of the formulated jam ranged from 55.76 to $61.60 \%$ and the pectin content of the jam ranged from 5.02 to $5.74 \%$. The control sample had the lowest pectin content of $5.02 \%$ while sample AB3 ( $70 \%$ apple, $30 \%$ banana), had the highest pectin content, followed by AB2 ( $60 \%$ apple, $40 \%$ banana) and AB1 (50 $\%$ apple, $50 \%$ banana) in that order. The sensory properties of the jam revealed that sample AB1 which comprises of $50 \%$ apple and $50 \%$ banana was rated best in term of colour, taste, aroma, texture, spread-ability, and overall acceptability, followed by sample AB2 ( $60 \%$ apple, $40 \%$ banana). The findings reveal that apple and banana could be used in the preparation of a quality jam without any adverse effect on the nutritional quality.
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## Introduction

Jam is a mixture of fruit boiled with sugar and allowed to congeal (Dianne, 2011). It is often spread on bread, biscuit and ice cream. Jam is a type of fruit preservation which can be canned, sealed for long term storage. Jam making involves the disruption of the fruit's tissues followed by
heating with addition of water and sugar to activate its pectin before being put into containers. The sugar and the fruits used in producing jam vary according to the type of fruit and its ripeness, when the temperature of the mixture reaches $104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the acid and the pectin reacts with sugar (Awolu et al., 2017)
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Normally, jam preparation requires the addition of commercial or natural pectin as a gelling agent (Awolu et al., 2016). The uses of ingredient and how the jams are prepared actually determine the type of jam produced. Jams are one of the most popular products because of their low cost, availability and organoleptic properties (Eke and Owuno, 2013). Traditionally, jams were first produced as an effort to preserve fruits during offseason for consumption. Nevertheless, processed product such as jams usually have low nutritional value when compared to fresh fruits for an example, jam normally have lower vitamin C content compared to the fresh fruits (Kamari and Dhaliwal, 2010). This is due to exposure to the heat generated during the processing (Awolu et al., 2016).

Fruits such as lemon, apple, cranberries, bananas, pineapple, and orange have been used for producing jam. Apple fruit is one of the most popular and favourite fruit among the health conscious individuals. Apple fruits are packed with rich phyto-nutrients, which in the true sense is indispensable for optimal health (Javanmard and Endan, 2010). Certain antioxidants in apple have several promoting and disease preventive properties and thereby truly justifying the adage, ''an apple a day keep the doctor away"' (Boyles, 2000).

Banana fruit is a perennial herbaceous plant that grows from the underground Rhizome. It flourishes well under tropical, moisture-rich, humid, low-lying, farm lands. Banana fruits are composed of soft, easily digestible flesh made up of simple sugars like fructose and sucrose that upon consumption instantly replenish energy and revitalizes the body (Adejoro et al., 2010). It is also one of the recommended supplement foods included in the treatment plan for under-nourished children. There is scarcity of information on the nutritional properties and product development potential of some fruits blends such as apple and banana. The objective of this study is to produce
composite jam using apple and banana fruits and to evaluate its nutritional properties, physicochemical and sensory properties of the formulated jam.

## Materials and Methods

## Source of raw material

The banana, apple, sugar and salt for the production and formulation of jam were purchased from Osogbo market, Osun State, Nigeria.

## Sample preparation

Samples of green apple (Malus domestica) and red banana (Musa acuminata) were sorted, washed with potable water to remove adhering soil, and peeled manually with stainless steel knife and sliced.

## Sample formulation

Three different blends were produced and coded as $\mathrm{AB} 1, \mathrm{AB} 2$, and AB 3 . Two and half cups of each fruit were crushed separately and blended in different proportion: 50:50, 60:40, 70:30 of apple to banana. One hundred grams $(100 \mathrm{~g})$ of sugar was added to 400 g of the heated fruit blends and heating of the mixture continued until the sugar was completely dissolved. Twenty grams ( 20 g ) of lemon juice and 0.05 g of salt was added to the mixture to enhance gel formation colour improvement and flavour of the jam and then boiled for about 5-10 minutes to form gel. The mixture was hot filled into sterilized glass jars, cooled under ambient conditions and stored. AB1, AB 2 , and AB 3 represented $50 \%$ apple, $50 \%$ banana; $60 \%$ apple, $40 \%$ banana; $70 \%$ apple, and $30 \%$ banana respectively.

## Analysis

Proximate composition analysis of the jam was carried out at Food and Nutrition Science Lab, IITA, Ibadan, Nigeria. The overall content of moisture, ash, fat, protein, and carbohydrate of jam samples were determined according to AOAC, 2013.

Physico-chemical Composition of the jam such as pH , acidity, ascorbic acids, reducing sugar, total sugar, non-reducing sugar, and pectin content of the formulated jam samples were determined according to AOAC, 2013.

## Sensory evaluation

The jam samples along with bread serving as a carrier was presented to 15 trained panelists from Osun State College of Education, Ila-Orangun, Osun State. Five (5) points hedonic test was used and the panelists were asked to indicate their observations and rate the samples parameters; flavour, colour, taste, texture, spread-ability and overall acceptability (where $1=$ dislike very much, $2=$ dislike moderately, $3=$ neither like nor dislike, $4=$ like moderately, $5=$ like very much). The analysis was carried out on the four (4) samples. Strawberry jam was bought from supermarket and serves as control.

## Statistical Analysis

Results were subjected to statistical analysis using statistical package for social scientist (SPSS) (Version 17.0) for analysis of variance (ANOVA). Duncan's multiple range tests and mean standard deviation were used to determine any significant difference among the samples.

## Results and Discussion

## Sensory evaluations of the formulated Jam

Table 1 showed the results of sensory evaluation of apple and banana blends. The result showed that AB 1 and AB 2 recorded the best sensory evaluations. The high value of AB1 could be as a result of equal amount of apple and banana present.Apple fruits are an excellent source of pectin and when adequate amount is blended with other moderate pectin fruits it comes out to form good gel and spread well (Awolu et al., 2013). Color ranged from 3.23-4.53, taste ranged from 3.20-3.83, while aroma ranged from 3.18-3.82 with sample AB3 having the lowest in all the
sensory parameters. Among all the sensory parameters, colour is an important sensory attribute on which the consumer preference depends. Texture ranged from 3.30-4.20 with sample AB3 having the lowest value while AB 1 had the highest. Spread-ability ranged from 3.49-4.46 with the sample AB3 having the least when compared with the control while sample $A B 1$ had the highest. Overall acceptability ranged from 3.20-4.30. The results revealed that there was a significant difference ( $\mathrm{p} \geq 0.05$ ) in the panelist's preference for sample AB1 when compared with sample AB2, AB3 and the control in term of colour, taste, aroma, texture, spread-ability, and overall acceptability. There were no significant difference between AB2, AB3 and the control sample.

## Proximate Composition of the Formulated Jam

The result of the proximate analysis of the composite jam is presented in Table 2. The moisture content ranged from 40.32 to 45.20 \%. The control sample had the lowest moisture content of $40.32 \%$. However, the moisture content may also be as a result of boiling temperature and the variation of ingredients used. Sample AB3 had highest moisture content which may be as a result of combination of the fruits (apple and banana in high proportion). High moisture content can cause microbial infection in the jam. The results were significantly different ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) from the findings of Salam et al., 2020 who worked on production and acceptability of jam produced from pineapple, water melon and apple blends and reported \% moisture content that ranged from 63.46-65.21\%. The ash content of the formulated jam ranged from $0.33-0.53 \%$. The sample (AB3) had the highest ash level of $0.53 \%$ when compared to other samples. The ash content of the composite jam samples were higher compared to the data obtained for prickly pear jam (Atef et al., 2013). Ash content gives an indication of mineral composition of food sample and has been found to be very important in many biochemical reactions that aid physiological functioning of major metabolic processes in the
body (Ashaye and Adeleke, 2009). This might be attributed to the ratio of composition of the fruit pulps. There was a significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) difference between the control sample and the jam blends AB1 ( $50 \%$ apple and $50 \%$ banana), AB2 ( $60 \%$
Page | 4407 apple and $40 \%$ banana) and AB3 (70 \% apple and $30 \%$ banana). The control sample had the highest fat content of $0.16 \%$ followed by sample AB3 (70 $\%$ apple and $30 \%$ ) with $0.06 \%$ and sample (AB1 and AB2) with $0.04 \%$. This observation can be concluded that, the increase in percentage of fruits may cause the fall in the fat level of jams in sample AB 1 and AB 2 respectively. The protein content of the pineapple jam ranged from $0.26 \%$ to $0.69 \%$. Sample AB2, made up of ( $60 \%$ apple and $40 \%$ banana) recorded high protein of $0.69 \%$.

The protein content increased with increase in the substitution level of the fruits in sample (AB2 and AB 3 ). This result indicated that the blend samples had low protein content which was significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) different to the protein content reported by Salam et al., 2020 ranged from 0.97-0.99 \%. There was a significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) difference between the control sample and the composite jam samples AB1 ( $50 \%$ apple and $50 \%$ banana) with $0.67 \%$ and sample $A B 2$ and $A B 3$ with $0.69 \%$. The carbohydrate content of the composite jams ranged from $35.01 \%-35.80 \%$ for sample $\mathrm{AB} 1, \mathrm{AB} 2$ and AB 3 respectively. The carbohydrate content of the control sample is $59.99 \%$. The highest carbohydrate content observed in control sample might be attributed to the high carbohydrate content in strawberry. A significant ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) difference was observed in the control and the formulated blends.

## Physico-Chemical Parameters of Jam Produced from Apple and Banana

The result of the physico-chemical parameters of the composite jam is presented in Table 3. The total soluble solids (TSS) content ranged from 58.8 to $68.0^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$. The control sample had lowest TSS of
$58.8^{\circ} \mathrm{B}$. However, the significant differences in TSS may be as a result of the combination of fruits used. Sample AB3 had highest TSS which may be as a result of combination of the fruits (apple and banana in high proportion). The pH of the jam ranged from 3.00 to 3.11 . The control sample had the lowest pH value while AB 2 had the highest pH value but the pH values for all the blends are significantly different to one another due to the combination of fruits used. The pH of the samples were lower than the pH 3.6 reported by Kouadio et al. (2021) who produced jam from cashew and apple. The acidity composition of the formulated jam ranged from 1.12 to $1.25 \%$. The control sample had the lowest while the sample AB3 (70 $\%$ apple and $30 \%$ banana) had the highest acidity which might be due to high proportion of green apple used. The ascorbic acid composition of the formulated jam ranged from 5.30 to 6.01 . The control sample had the highest value of $6.01 \%$ compared to the blends, while sample AB2 (60 \% apple and $40 \%$ banana) had the lowest. The total sugar of the formulated jam ranged from 55.76 to $61.60 \%$. The control samples had the lowest content of sugar while the sample AB3 had the highest sugar content. This may be due to the varied percentage in the combination of fruits used. The results of the total sugar of the samples are higher compared to the one reported by Kouadio et al. (2021). The results of the total sugar ranged from 55.76-61.60 \% while Kouadio et al reported total sugar content of $51.87 \%$. The pH of the samples in this research ranged from 3.01-3.11 while kouadio et al reported a higher value of 3.60. Sugar content in jam help to improve preservation and inhibit microbial growth. The pectin content of the jam ranged from 5.02 to $5.74 \%$. The control sample had the lowest pectin content of $5.02 \%$ while sample AB 3 had the highest pectin content, followed by AB 2 and AB 1 in that order. This may be due to the proportion of the fruits combination used.

Table 1: Sensory Evaluation of Jam Produced from Banana and Apple bends
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| Sample | Color | Taste | Aroma | Texture | Spread-ability | Overall Acceptability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AB1 | $4.53 \pm 0.66^{\text {c }}$ | $3.83 \pm 0.09^{\text {b }}$ | $3.82 \pm 0.60^{\text {b }}$ | $4.20 \pm 0.33^{\text {a }}$ | $4.46 \pm 1.13{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $4.31 \pm 0.32^{\text {a }}$ |
| AB2 | $3.69 \pm 0.85^{\text {b }}$ | $3.45 \pm 1.14^{\text {a }}$ | $3.36 \pm 0.51^{\text {a }}$ | $3.77 \pm 0.09^{\text {b }}$ | $3.621 \pm 0.31^{\text {b }}$ | $3.69 \pm 0.33^{\text {b }}$ |
| AB3 | $3.23 \pm 1.16^{\text {b }}$ | $3.20 \pm 1.41^{\text {a }}$ | $3.18 \pm 0.41^{\text {a }}$ | $3.30 \pm 0.19^{\text {b }}$ | $3.49 \pm 0.41^{\text {b }}$ | $3.22 \pm 0.19^{\text {b }}$ |
| CTR | $3.46 \pm 1.26^{\text {b }}$ | $3.54 \pm 0.39^{\text {a }}$ | $3.19 \pm 0.51^{\text {a }}$ | $3.46 \pm 0.34{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $3.54 \pm 0.43^{\text {b }}$ | $3.45 \pm 0.99^{\text {b }}$ |
| Values represent means of triplicate reading, follow by different lowercase letter. Means within the same row with different alphabets are significantly different ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2: Proximate Composition of Banana and Apple Jams

| Samples | Moisture \% | Ash\% | Fat \% | Protein\% | Carbohydrate\% |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AB1 | $50.46 \pm 0.41$ | $0.46 \pm 0.01$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01$ | $0.67 \pm 0.30$ | $35.01 \pm 0.40$ |
| AB2 | $53.01 \pm 0.20$ | $0.50 \pm 0.04$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01$ | $0.69 \pm 0.44$ | $35.50 \pm 0.18$ |
| AB3 | $55.25 \pm 0.22$ | $0.53 \pm 0.04$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$ | $0.69 \pm 0.44$ | $35.80 \pm 0.18$ |
| CTR | $40.32 \pm 0.35$ | $0.33 \pm 0.05$ | $0.16 \pm 0.01$ | $0.26 \pm 0.12$ | $59.99 \pm 0.52$ |

Values represent means of triplicate reading, follow by different lowercase letter.
Means within the same row with different alphabets are significantly different ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ )
KEY: AB 1 is $50 \%$ apple, $50 \%$ banana; AB 2 is $60 \%$ apple, $40 \%$ banana; AB 3 is $70 \%$ apple, $30 \%$ banana, and CTR: strawberry (control).

Table 3: Physico-chemical Parameters of Composite Jam Produced from Apple and Banana

| Parameters | Values |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | AB1 | AB2 | AB3 | CTR |
| TSS ( ${ }^{\circ}$ B) | 68.0 | 65.0 | 66.10 | 58.80 |
| pH | 3.01 | 3.11 | 3.08 | 3.00 |
| Acidity (citric acid) | 1.22 | 1.20 | 1.25 | 1.12 |
| Ascorbic acids (mg/100g) | 5.35 | 5.30 | 5.90 | 6.01 |
| Reducing sugar (\%) | 15.91 | 15.81 | 16.10 | 15.24 |
| Non-reducing sugar (\%) | 42.21 | 44.32 | 45.50 | 40.52 |
| Total sugar (\%) | 58.13 | 60.13 | 61.60 | 55.76 |
| Pectin (\% as calcium pectate) | 5.51 | 5.74 | 5.92 | 5.02 |

Values represent means of triplicate reading, follow by different lowercase letter.
Means within the same row with different alphabets are significantly different ( $\mathrm{p} \leq 0.05$ )
KEY: AB 1 is $50 \%$ apple, $50 \%$ banana, AB 2 is $60 \%$ apple, $40 \%$ banana, AB 3 is $70 \%$ apple, $30 \%$ banana, and CTR is strawberry (control).

## Conclusion

The study revealed that acceptable jams could be produced from apple and banana in the ratio 50:50, 60:40 and 70:30 without adverse effects on the nutritional values. It could be observed from the
result that jam consisting $50 \%$ banana and $50 \%$ apple have overall best sensory evaluation, followed by $60 \%$ apple and $40 \%$ banana while 70 $\%$ apple, $30 \%$ banana was the least. The use of apple and banana in the production of jam will help in reducing wastage and improving the
utilization of these fruits. There will also be more income for farmers and reduced pressure on the use of other fruits for jam production.
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